MEMORANDUM TO: Christopher I. Grimes, Program Director October 2, 2002

Policy and Rulemaking Programs

Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, NRR

FROM: Joseph L. Birmingham, Project Manager /RA/

Policy and Rulemaking Program

Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, NRR

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2002, MEETING WITH NUCLEAR

ENERGY INSTITUTE (NEI) ON THE GENERIC LICENSING CHANGE

PACKAGE (GLCP)

On September 11, 2002, Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with representatives from NEI and industry at the NRC's office in Rockville, Maryland. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the GLCP and other steam generator issues. Attachment 1 is a list of those attending the meeting.

The meeting began with introductions of those in attendance and a statement of the purpose of the meeting from Bill Bateman, of the NRC. Emmett Murphy, of the NRC, discussed three key outstanding issues, determination of the maximum steam generator inspection intervals, clarification of the 1.4 criterion for tube burst, and revision of the administrative technical specifications (TS) in the GLCP. He said that the NRC needs prescriptive surveillance intervals rather than performance-based, that there is a need to maintain TS control of these intervals, and that the NEI proposals for these intervals appeared to be incomplete. Other issues discussed by Mr. Murphy included degradation activity threshold criteria, loose parts, interpretation of the definition of burst, tube repair methods, and the objectives of degradation assessment. Mr. Murphy also presented sample administrative TS for steam generators (see Attachment 2, ADAMS Accession # ML022520415) which would be acceptable to the staff. The presentation slides used by Mr. Murphy are in Attachment 3 (ADAMS Accession # ML022660068). NEI discussed these issues with the staff and said most of the issues were addressed in the NEI presentation.

Jim Riley, of the NEI, then presented industry's perspective of the status of the GLCP and the other steam generator issues. The NEI presentation slides are in Attachment 4 to this memorandum (ADAMS Accession # ML022660095). Mr. Riley reviewed the status of the GLCP stating that differences between the staff and industry still remained but the differences could be resolved as technical issues on a schedule that would not delay GLCP implementation. He said that industry plans to submit the GLCP through an NEI template and a lead plant submittal before the end of 2002 and a schedule was provided in the handout. He discussed industry's intent to address NRC comments in a September 9, 2002-letter (ADAMS Accession # ML022520414) on the GLCP and stated that further TS comments could be addressed through the Request for Additional Information (RAI) process. Mr. Riley then gave the industry's proposal for handling issues such as the definition of burst, operational and accident induced leakage, tube repair criteria, inspection intervals, and degradation activity

C. Grimes - 2 -

threshold. He summarized the presentation by stating that with these changes it was time to submit the GLCP and to address the remaining technical differences through the RAI process.

The staff discussed the issues to clarify the differences between the NEI and NRC positions and discussed the possibility of submitting the GLCP. The staff agreed to summarize the issues pertaining to the GLCP and the status of issue resolution and to include this summary as part of this memorandum. This issue summary (ADAMS Accession # ML022630148) is provided in Attachment 5 to this memorandum.

The staff agreed that it was time to submit the GLCP, but the industry needs to address the staff comments in a satisfactory manner. NEI said it would review those comments and make any necessary changes to the package before sending it out to the administrative points of contact for concurrence. The staff noted it needed to discuss the proposed TS action with the TS staff and also to involve the division of project management on the lead plant submittal, however, the staff would work with NEI to implement the proposed schedule of resolution.

During the meeting, Brian Sheron, the NRC Associate Director for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis, spoke on the need to get the GLCP and the other steam generator issues finished. He noted that the effort had been going on for more than four years and appeared to be stuck in a "revolving" comment process. NEI agreed that it was time to finish the submittal of the GLCP and to have a plan for resolution of the remaining technical issues. Mr. Sheron indicated that the NRC needed to see progress or would have to pursue another avenue for resolution of steam generator issues.

After additional discussion of the Three Mile Island tube sever issue and a review of the status of a draft Regulatory Issue Summary on steam generator tube inspection methods, the meeting was adjourned.

Attachments: As stated

PROJECT 689 cc: Jim Riley, NEI

C. Grimes - 2 -

threshold. He summarized the presentation by stating that with these changes it was time to submit the GLCP and to address the remaining technical differences through the RAI process.

The staff discussed the issues to clarify the differences between the NEI and NRC positions and discussed the possibility of submitting the GLCP. The staff agreed to summarize the issues pertaining to the GLCP and the status of issue resolution and to include this summary as part of this memorandum. This issue summary (ADAMS Accession # ML022630148) is provided in Attachment 5 to this memorandum.

The staff agreed that it was time to submit the GLCP, but the industry needs to address the staff comments in a satisfactory manner. NEI said it would review those comments and make any necessary changes to the package before sending it out to the administrative points of contact for concurrence. The staff noted it needed to discuss the proposed TS action with the TS staff and also to involve the division of project management on the lead plant submittal, however, the staff would work with NEI to implement the proposed schedule of resolution.

During the meeting, Brian Sheron, the NRC Associate Director for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis, spoke on the need to get the GLCP and the other steam generator issues finished. He noted that the effort had been going on for more than four years and appeared to be stuck in a "revolving" comment process. NEI agreed that it was time to finish the submittal of the GLCP and to have a plan for resolution of the remaining technical issues. Mr. Sheron indicated that the NRC needed to see progress or would have to pursue another avenue for resolution of steam generator issues.

After additional discussion of the Three Mile Island tube sever issue and a review of the status of a draft Regulatory Issue Summary on steam generator tube inspection methods, the meeting was adjourned.

Attachments: As stated

PROJECT 689 cc: Jim Riley, NEI

Accession Nos

ADAMS Package #	ML022660059	
Meeting summary	ML022660163	

Att. 1 NA

Att. 2 ML022520415
Att. 3 ML022660068
Att. 4 ML022660095
Att. 5 ML022630148
September 9, 2002 letter to NEI ML022520414

Distribution:ADAMSACRSOGCJBirminghamPWenAMendiolaSBajwaRDennigWBatemanSWestCGrimes

EBrown BSheron LLund KKarwoski JDavis (RES)

Document Name: G:\RPRP\JBirmingham\Msum-new\MSUM NEI 97-06 SG 9-11-02

*see previous concurrence

Office	PM:RPRP	SC:RPRP	BC:EMCB
Name	JBirmingham	SWest*	WBateman*
Date	10/01/02	10/02/02	09/ 30 /02

SG Service List
James Riley (jhr@nei.org) - List separately under "cc" and send email copy in MS word
Send MS Word File via email
pcampbel@winston.com
Nancy Chapman
ngchapma@Bechtel.com
Paul Gunter
pgunter@nirs.org
Lane Hay
hlhay@Bechtel.com
dlochbaum@UCSUSA.ORG
Dennis Zannoni
dzannoni@dep.state.nj.us
jenny_weil@mgh.com

List of Attendees for 9/11/02 meeting on NEI 97-06

Name Organization

Jim Riley NEI

Forrest Hundley Southern Co.
Dan Mayes Duke Energy

Helen Cothron TVA

Rick Coe S. California Edison

Greg Kammerdeiner First Energy
Bob Exner PG&E
Rick Mullins Southern Co.

Robert Cullen Entergy
Gary Henry EPRI
Mati Merilo EPRI
Mohammed Behravesh EPRI

Dan MayesDuke EnergyJim BegleyFramatome ANPJohn ReynosoArizona Public ServiceGary BoyersFlorida Power and Light

Brian Mann Excel Services

Deann Raleigh LIS, SCIENTECH

Bob Dennig NRC\NRR\RORP\TSS

Joseph Birmingham NRC\NRR\RPRP Bill Bateman NRC\NRR\EMCB Louise Lund NRC\NRR\EMCB Emmett Murphy NRC\NRR\EMCB Ken Karwoski NRC\NRR\EMCB John Tsao NRC\NRR\EMCB NRC\NRR\EMCB Yamir Diaz Cheryl Khan NRC\NRR\EMCB Carolyn Lauron NRC\NRR\EMCB Bob Tjader NRC\NRR\RORP Brian Sheron NRC\NRR\ADPT